Message

From: Mylott, Richard [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=16543F1A0E1A4C4DAFE0611A15C85705-MYLOTT, RICHARD]

Sent: 6/9/2014 11:27:06 PM

To: Parker, Robert [Parker.Robert@epa.gov]; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa [Mcclain-Vanderpool.Lisa@epa.gov]

CC: Ketellapper, Victor [Ketellapper.Victor@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: CFAC sampling report

Thanks, Rob.

From: Parker, Robert

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 4:37 PM

To: Mylott, Richard; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa

Cc: Ketellapper, Victor

Subject: FW: CFAC sampling report

FYI – I want to forward this message that I've sent along to a Flathead Beacon reporter asking about follow up sampling near the Columbia Falls Aluminum site. Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks, Rob

From: Parker, Robert

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 4:35 PM

To: 'Molly Priddy'

Subject: RE: CFAC sampling report

Hi Molly. We do have the analytical results. As you likely know, EPA sampled these additional wells west of the CFAC property as a result of cyanide detections from our fall sampling event. Specifically regarding cyanide, we did not detect cyanide in any of the wells that were sampled in April which, of course, is a good thing. This isn't necessarily surprising as groundwater flow potentially changes from low flow season (last fall) to high flow season (this spring), but we still wanted to determine if there are other wells in the area that had detections of cyanide.

Even though cyanide was not detected in residential wells in the April sampling event, EPA believes there still is a need for further investigation at the site since there is contamination in the soils and groundwater at the site, and contaminants were detected in domestic wells, Flathead River, and Cedar Creek last fall. This additional investigation will help EPA and DEQ better understand the hydrogeology and the nature and extent of contamination in order to determine if any remediation is necessary.

Let me know if you have any follow up questions. I should be around all week if you'd like to discuss over the phone as well.

Thanks, Rob

Rob Parker Site Assessment Manager Environmental Engineer US EPA, Region 8, Denver (303) 312-6664 **From:** Molly Priddy [mailto:mpriddy@flatheadbeacon.com]

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 3:51 PM

To: Parker, Robert

Subject: Re: CFAC sampling report

Hey Rob -

That's interesting. Do you have the results from the individual wells? I'd be interested in knowing if the tests found anything of note in the samplings.

Molly Priddy, Staff Writer | mpriddy@flatheadbeacon.com

OFFICE: 406.257.9220

17 Main Street, Kalispell, MT 59901 | FLATHEADBEACON.COM



On Jun 9, 2014, at 3:47 PM, Parker, Robert wrote:

Hi Molly,

Thanks for your email today. We don't have plans to develop a formal report similar to the report issued in April. Instead, we've been discussing the sampling results with the well owners individually. Is there any specific piece of information that perhaps I can help you with since we don't have a formal report?

Thanks, Rob

Rob Parker Site Assessment Manager Environmental Engineer US EPA, Region 8, Denver (303) 312-6664

From: Molly Priddy [mailto:mpriddy@flatheadbeacon.com]

Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 1:34 PM

To: Parker, Robert

Subject: CFAC sampling report

Hi Rob -

I'm putting together an article on the current debate about the future of the Columbia Falls Aluminum Company site, and was curious if you knew when the April water sampling report would be completed.

Thanks,

Molly

Molly Priddy, Staff Writer | mpriddy@flatheadbeacon.com

office: 406.257.9220

17 Main Street, Kalispell, MT 59901 | FLATHEADBEACON.COM

<image001.png>